

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,172	11/07/2000	Timothy Lee Erickson	20583.00110	1129	
7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER			
Crosby Heafey Roach & May Two Embarcadero Center Suite 2000 P O Box 7936 San Francisco, CA 94120-7936			LEE, Ch	LEE, CHI HO A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>M</i> .			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/708,172	ERICKSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Lee	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	lovember 2000.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	s action is non-final.				
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmer		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/708,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 Page 21 includes the claims. Claims should commence on a separate paper(s).

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,181,707. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 16 and 22 of U.S. Patent Number 6,181,707 encompass the limitations of claims 1 and 2 of instant application. Moreover, omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is well settled that the omission of an element and its functions is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements performs the same function

Application/Control Number: 09/708,172

Art Unit: 2663

as before In re Karlson, 163 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Christensen U.S. Patent Number 6,069,878.

Re Claims 1 and 2, fig. 16 teaches a common TDM Control Data Bus coupled to plurality of Matrix cards (See col. 14, lines 14-39) 30, 32 (a plurality of circuit modules) wherein each cards are connected to Key Panels (at least one peripheral station) via Serial Formatter & UART for interfacing Control Words & Audio data with the bus; fig. 17 teaches the detail of each cards and includes a TDM ASIC (a control data communication circuit) having a ports for transmitting and receiving Control & Audio data to and from the bus (receiver & transmitter) wherein the TDM ASIC function (See col. 15, lines 38-62) to assign unique time slots to inbound and outbound channels (assigned & designated time slots) wherein the slots can be assigned in a (See col. 16, lines 10-18) contiguous fashion (in success time slots) wherein the TDM ASIC in each cards uses mapping function (See col. 19, lines 10 +) to identify the source &

Art Unit: 2663

destination in accordance to the uniquely assigned time slots (a data identifying a unique address), in particular, when a client wants to intercom with another client card, the source card TDM ASIC sends a message via the back-plane Control bus to the Listener's Processors (each receiver) to make connection to a time slots to listen, hence, the mapping function within each TDM ASIC automatically identifies the source TDM ASIC based on mapping of the outbound and inbound time slot allocation on the TDM bus.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-1500. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PATENT PARTIES THE THE

AI (9/01/04)